

Comparing smoking behavior between female-to-male and male-to-female transgender adults

Irene Tami-Maury¹, Anushree Sharma², Minxing Chen³, Janice Blalock², Juan Ortiz², Lou Weaver⁴, Sanjay Shete³

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION This study aimed to assess the association between current smoking and gender identity among transgender individuals.

METHODS Data were collected using a cross-sectional survey distributed among transgender individuals attending the Houston Pride Festival and those seeking care at a local transgender health clinic. Relevant variables were compared between female-to-male (FTM) and male-to-female (MTF) transgender individuals using χ^2 , Fisher's exact, and two-sample t-tests, when appropriate. Gender identity was used to predict current smoking status using logistic regression, adjusting for other sociodemographic determinants.

RESULTS The study sample (N=132) comprised 72 MTF (54.5%) and 60 FTM (45.5%) transgender individuals. Mean age of participants was 31.8 years. The sample was racially and ethnically diverse: 45.8% Caucasian, 25.2% Hispanic/Latino, 16.8% African American, and 12.2% other. Current smoking prevalence was 26.7% and 13.9% among FTM and MTF individuals, respectively. Transgender individuals were more likely to self-report current smoking if they were FTM (OR=3.76; 95% CI: 1.17–12.06; $p=0.026$) or were insured (OR=4.49; 95% CI: 1.53–13.18; $p=0.006$).

CONCLUSIONS This study reports on important findings by examining intragroup differences in smoking behavior among the transgender population. However, further research is needed for tailoring smoking prevention and cessation interventions for transgender subgroups.

AFFILIATION

1 Department of Epidemiology, Human Genetics and Environmental Sciences, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston School of Public Health, United States

2 Department of Behavioral Science, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, United States

3 Department of Biostatistics, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, United States

4 Equality Texas, Houston, United States

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Irene Tami-Maury, Department of Epidemiology, Human Genetics and Environmental Sciences, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston School of Public Health, 1200 Pressler St., Houston, TX 77030, United States.
E-mail: Irene.Tami@uth.tmc.edu

KEYWORDS

transgender, smoking behaviour, gender identity

Received: 16 August 2019

Revised: 18 November 2019

Accepted: 22 November 2019

INTRODUCTION

The transgender population is considered a minority within the sexual and gender minority (SGM) groups, and they face greater challenges than those who self-identify as lesbian, men having sex with men, or bisexual individuals¹. 'Transgender' describes a person whose sense of gender does not correspond with their assigned gender at birth². Female-to-male (FTM) transgender individuals were assigned female at birth but personally identify themselves as male, and male-to-female (MTF) transgender individuals were assigned male at birth but personally identify themselves as female. Transgender individuals may under-utilize

healthcare resources due to discrimination, stigma, and difficulty of finding healthcare providers who are knowledgeable about the physical and mental health issues affecting the transgender population³⁻⁶.

Tobacco use is a major contributing factor to morbidity and mortality worldwide⁷, and previous research has shown that smoking rates are higher among sexual and gender minority (SGM) groups⁸⁻¹¹. Stress, internalized homophobia, normalization of smoking behavior, and targeted advertising by the tobacco industry, are just some of the multiple risk factors contributing to the increased smoking rate among SGM individuals^{12,13}. Also to consider

is the dynamic between gender role attitudes and smoking, which has not only shifted social norms and diversified smoking patterns¹⁴⁻¹⁶, but has influenced smoking cessation outcomes^{17,18}. In the general population, there is evidence indicating that men are more likely than women to engage in a variety of risky behaviors, including smoking, alcohol use, and avoidance of health screenings, to project a more masculine image of themselves^{19,20}. Even among gay men, prior research has found that individuals who want to portray a more masculine image are more likely to engage in risky behaviors such as tobacco use, alcohol use, and illicit drugs^{12,20-22}.

While most of the published literature evaluates cigarette smoking among lesbians, men having sex with men (MSM), and bisexual adults, research on smoking among transgender populations is limited. Two national representative studies conducted among transgender adults in the US indicate a smoking prevalence ranging from 27.2%²³ to 35.5%². However, these important efforts failed at examining intragroup differences in smoking patterns within the transgender population (FTM vs MTF). To our knowledge, only one recent publication based on secondary analysis of data from the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study has addressed tobacco use behaviors among 154 transgender individuals², reporting 40.1% and 30.9% smoking prevalence among FTM and MTF transgender individuals, respectively. However, the difference in smoking prevalence in these two groups was not statistically significant.

To investigate whether there are differences in terms of smoking behavioral patterns based on gender identity in this vulnerable group, we studied the prevalence of smoking and potential determinants of smoking risk among a sample of transgender individuals in Houston, Texas.

METHODS

Design and data collection

Our convenience sample included transgender adults (aged ≥ 18 years) attending the 2015 Houston Pride Festival, as well as transgender patients seeking care at an obstetrics and gynaecology clinic. Respondents provided information regarding age, sexual orientation, gender identity, sex at birth, racial/ethnic background, health insurance coverage, educational level, working status, and tobacco use behaviors

(e.g. consumption of cigarettes and other tobacco products, use of electronic nicotine-delivery systems, intention to quit, cessation resources used). However, our analysis was restricted to cigarette smoking as only 16.5% of the individuals reported using tobacco products other than cigarettes. Items in the survey used simple checkboxes or Likert-type rating scales with skip patterns when appropriate. No remuneration of any kind was given to participants. This study was approved by the institutional review board at MD Anderson Cancer Center (Protocol PA14-0350).

Outcomes

Our survey included a question about gender identity with several categories such as male, MTF transgender, female, FTM transgender, not sure, and other; but only those respondents self-identified as transgender individuals (either MTF or FTM) were included in the analysis.

Our analysis plan for this particular report was centred on cigarette smoking (main outcome). Therefore, all participants who responded 'Yes' to the questions: 'Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes (5 packs) in your entire life?' and 'Do you now smoke every day or some days?', were classified as current smokers. Respondents who had smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their lifetime but who had quit smoking at the time of survey completion were classified as former smokers. Never smokers were respondents who had never smoked, or who had smoked less than 100 cigarettes in their lifetime.

Covariates

Age was self-reported as a continuous variable. Although more detailed data regarding race/ethnic background were available (Non-Hispanic Caucasian, African American, Hispanic, and other), ethnicity was dichotomized as White vs Non-White, given the relatively small sample size of the other racial/ethnic groups in our study. We classified level of education in two mutually exclusive groups: High school or less vs Higher than high school. Employment status was recoded into working full-time or part-time vs not working or volunteering. Healthcare coverage was categorized as Insured and Uninsured. Self-report of being diagnosed with anxiety and/or depression indicated history of psychological distress (Yes/No).

Statistical analysis

Chi-squared test (or Fisher’s exact test, when appropriate) was used to compare categorical variables between the two subgroups (FTM vs MTF). For comparing continuous variables two-sample t-test was used. Gender identity was used to predict current smoking status using logistic regression, adjusting for sociodemographic determinants such as age, race/ethnicity, education level, employment status, health insurance coverage, and psychological distress diagnosis. Since diagnosis of depression and anxiety disorders (psychological distress diagnosis) have been strongly associated with smoking behavior in the scientific literature²⁴, this variable was also included in the model. We did not conduct any variable selection, such as stepwise selection, because such approaches are known to lead to biased coefficient estimation²⁵. The significance threshold was set at 0.05. All analyses were conducted using Stata v. 15.1 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas).

RESULTS

Sociodemographic characteristics

In all, 132 transgender individuals completed the survey. The mean age of the participants was 32 years

(SD=11.7 years). Of these, 72 individuals (54.5%) self-identified as MTF and 60 identified as FTM (45.5%). The sample group was racially and ethnically diverse: 45.8% Caucasian, 25.2% Hispanic/Latino, 16.8% African American, and 12.2% reported other racial/ethnic background. Most of the transgender individuals were single (62.9%), not living with children in their household (83.6%), and with an educational level higher than high school (77.3%). Full-time or part-time employment was reported by 72.8% of the sample, while 28.5% had no healthcare coverage. More than a third of the respondents (38.6%) reported having a diagnosis of psychological distress such as depression and/or anxiety disorders. More details and statistically significance differences between the transgender subgroups are given in Table 1.

Prevalence rates and predictors of current cigarette smoking

Prevalence of current cigarette smoking was 13.9% among MTF and 26.7% among FTM transgender individuals. The number of cases in the regression model was reduced to 120 due to missing data. Results of the logistic regression that modeled current

Table 1. Characteristics of the study sample (N=132)

Characteristics	Categories	Total N=132a n (%)	MTF n=72 n (%)	FTM n=60 n (%)	p
Age (years), mean (±SD)		31.8 (±11.71)	33.8 (±12.91)	29.5 (±9.73)	0.038 ^b
Sexual orientation					
	Lesbian	13 (10.2)	9 (12.9)	4 (7.0)	
	Gay	7 (5.5)	5 (7.1)	2 (3.5)	0.068 ^c
	Bisexual	19 (15.0)	15 (21.4)	4 (7.0)	
	Queer/other	62 (48.8)	29 (41.4)	33 (57.9)	
	Straight/Heterosexual	26 (20.5)	12 (17.1)	14 (24.6)	
Sex assigned at birth					
	Male	64 (49.6)	64 (90.1)	0	
	Female	63 (48.8)	5 (7.0)	58 (100.0)	NA
	Intersex	2 (1.6)	2 (2.8)	0	
Racial/ethnic background					
	Non-Hispanic Caucasian	60 (45.8)	41 (56.9)	19 (32.2)	
	African American	22 (16.8)	5 (6.9)	17 (28.8)	0.003 ^d
	Hispanic	33 (25.2)	17 (23.6)	16 (27.1)	
	Other ^e	16 (12.2)	9 (12.5)	7 (11.9)	

Continued

Table 1. Continued

Characteristics	Categories	Total N=132a n (%)	MTF n=72 n (%)	FTM n=60 n (%)	p
Living status					
	Single	78 (62.9)	46 (69.7)	32 (55.2)	0.036 ^c
	Married or living with significant other	38 (30.6)	14 (21.2)	24 (41.4)	
	Other ^f	8 (6.5)	6 (9.1)	2 (3.4)	
Living with children					
	Yes	21 (16.4)	10 (14.7)	11 (18.3)	0.58 ^d
	No	107 (83.6)	58 (85.3)	49 (81.7)	
Level of education					
	Higher than high school	99 (77.3)	52 (76.5)	47 (78.3)	0.802 ^d
	High school or less	29 (22.7)	16 (23.5)	13 (21.7)	
Work status					
	Working full-time or part-time	91 (72.8)	39 (59.1)	52 (88.1)	<0.001 ^d
	Not working/volunteer	34 (27.2)	27 (40.9)	7 (11.9)	
Healthcare coverage					
	Insured	93 (71.5)	45 (64.3)	48 (80.0)	
	Uninsured ^g	37 (28.5)	25 (35.7)	12 (20.0)	0.048 ^d
Psychological distress^h					
	No	81 (61.4)	43 (59.7)	38 (63.3)	
	Yes	51 (38.6)	29 (40.3)	22 (36.7)	0.671 ^d

a Due to missing data not all the variables add up to the total sample (N=132): Five cases missed response on sexual orientation, 3 on sex assigned at birth, 1 on race/ethnicity, 8 on living status, 4 on living with children, 4 on education level, 7 on employment status, and 2 on health care coverage. b Two-sample t-test was used. c Fisher's exact test was used. d Chi-squared test was used. e Includes American Indian, Alaska Native, Pacific Islander, Asian and others. f Includes open-ended text answers such as engaged, in a relationship, dating, living with parents. g Includes the following answers: No, Don't know, Not sure. h Includes previous or current diagnosis of depression and anxiety disorders at the time of survey completion.

Table 2. Factors associated with current cigarette smoking among transgender adults (n=120)

Independent variable	OR	S.E.	95% CI	p
Gender identity, FTM vs MTF (Ref.)	3.76	2.24	1.17–12.06	0.026
Age	1.02	0.03	0.97–1.07	0.389
Race/ethnicity, White vs Non-White (Ref.)	1.03	0.55	0.36–2.95	0.955
Level of education, high school or less vs higher than high school (Ref.)	2.69	1.62	0.83–8.75	0.100
Employment status, not working vs working (Ref.)	0.46	0.34	0.11–1.93	0.290
Health insurance coverage, uninsured vs insured (Ref.)	4.49	2.47	1.53–13.18	0.006
Psychological distress, yes vs no (Ref.)	0.75	0.41	0.26–2.19	0.602

S.E.: standard error. 95% CI: 95 confidence interval. MTF: male-to-female transgender. FTM: female-to-male transgender.

cigarette smoking in association with gender identity (main predictor) adjusting for sociodemographic characteristics and other risk factors such as age, gender identity, race/ethnicity, level of education, psychological distress, employment status, and health insurance coverage, showed that compared to MTF transgender individuals, FTM participants were significantly more likely to be current smokers (OR=3.76; 95% CI: 1.17–12.06; p=0.026). Uninsured participants were also significantly more likely to be current smokers than those who were insured (OR=4.49; 95% CI: 1.53–13.18; p=0.006) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Our finding among 132 surveyed transgender adults was that FTM individuals were over 3 times more likely to report being current cigarette smokers compared to MTF transgender adults, facing a higher burden of health morbidity and mortality associated with

cigarette smoking. Compatible with our findings, the only other study in the scientific literature comparing subgroups of transgender individuals found that FTM transgender adults had significantly higher rates of past 3-month tobacco use (47.8%) compared to MTF transgender individuals (36.1%)²⁶. Our study also found that individuals who lacked health insurance coverage were over 4 times more likely to report being a current cigarette smoker compared with those who had health insurance coverage. This issue is particularly concerning because lack of healthcare access also limits access to smoking cessation services and resources^{27,28}.

Our findings build on the literature assessing tobacco use among the SGM population, but with a focus on transgender smokers. Our research also goes one step further by addressing disparities within the transgender population (MTF vs FTM).

Strengths and limitations

Since the study was conducted with a convenient sample from Houston, it may not be generalizable to other regions in the State of Texas or nationwide. However, our study sample of 132 transgender individuals is of relevance if we consider that two studies based on nationally representative samples have included 168²⁹ and 154² self-identified transgender individuals. Also, prevalence of cigarette smoking could be underestimated due to selection bias, as some of the study participants were recruited from a health clinic serving the local transgender population. Since this is a cross-sectional study, we are unable to observe differences in smoking initiation and intention to quit between MTF and FTM transgender individuals over time. However, transitioning is an ongoing process, not an event, that can take several months, years or even a lifetime. We also acknowledge that the wider the confidence interval in the reported odds ratios, the greater the uncertainty associated with our estimates. Despite these limitations, this study reports on important findings by examining intragroup differences in smoking behavior among the transgender population.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study addressed a critical knowledge gap by expanding on smoking-related disparities that exist within the subgroups of transgender adults. However,

more research is needed for tailoring smoking cessation for transgender subgroups and achieving a better understanding of their needs to successfully quit smoking. Further research is also needed to assess on a large scale the teachable moments during the process of gender transition when the promotion and implementation of smoking cessation interventions could be more effective.

REFERENCES

1. Winter S, Diamond M, Green J, et al. Transgender people: health at the margins of society. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10042):390-400. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(16)00683-8
2. Buchting FO, Emory KT, Scout, et al. Transgender Use of Cigarettes, Cigars, and E-Cigarettes in a National Study. *Am J Prev Med*. 2017;53(1):e1-e7. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2016.11.022
3. Quinn GP, Sanchez JA, Sutton SK, et al. Cancer and lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender/transsexual, and queer/questioning (LGBTQ) populations. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2015;65(5):384-400. doi:10.3322/caac.21288
4. Conway L. How Many of Us Are There: An Investigative Report. Australia: The Gender Centre; 2012.
5. James SE, Herman JL, Rankin S, Keisling M, Mottet L, Anafi M. The report o the U.S. Trangender Survey, 2015. Washington, DC: National Center for Transgender Equality; 2016. <https://transequality.org/sites/default/files/docs/usts/USTS-Full-Report-Dec17.pdf>. Accessed August 16, 2019.
6. Hahn M, Sheran N, Weber S, Cohan D, Obedin-Maliver J. Providing Patient-Centered Perinatal Care for Transgender Men and Gender-Diverse Individuals: A Collaborative Multidisciplinary Team Approach. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2019;134(5):959-963. doi:10.1097/aog.0000000000003506
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Smoking-attributable mortality, years of potential life lost, and productivity losses--United States, 2000-2004. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2008;57(45):1226-1228. doi:10.1001/jama.301.6.593
8. Grant JM, Mottet LA, Tanis J, Harrison J, Herman JL, Keisling M. Injustice at every turn: a report of the National Transgender Discrimination Survey. Washington: National Center for Transgender Equality and National Gay and Lesbian Task Force, 2011. https://www.transequality.org/sites/default/files/docs/resources/NTDS_Report.pdf. Accessed August 16, 2019.
9. Alzahrani T, Nguyen T, Ryan A, et al. Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors and Myocardial Infarction in the Transgender Population. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2019;12(4):e005597. doi:10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.119.005597
10. Ahmed J, Elyse P, Gentzke AS, Homa DM, Babb SD, King BA, Neff LJ. Current Cigarette Smoking Among

- Adults - United States, 2016. 2018;67(2):53-59. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6702a1
11. Drope J, Liber AC, Cahn Z, et al. Who's still smoking? Disparities in adult cigarette smoking prevalence in the United States. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2018;68(2):106-115. doi:10.3322/caac.21444
 12. Jannat-Khah DP, Dill LJ, Reynolds SA, Joseph MA. Stress, Socializing, and Other Motivations for Smoking Among the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer Community in New York City. *Am J Health Promot*. 2018;32(5):1178-1186. doi:10.1177/0890117117694449
 13. Remafedi G. Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youths: who smokes, and why? *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2007;9(Suppl 1):S65-S71. doi:10.1080/14622200601083491
 14. Bottorff JL, Haines-Saah R, Oliffe JL, Sarbit G. Gender influences in tobacco use and cessation interventions. *Nurs Clin North Am*. 2012;47(1):55-70. doi:10.1016/j.cnur.2011.10.010
 15. Pachankis JE, Westmaas JL, Dougherty LR. The influence of sexual orientation and masculinity on young men's tobacco smoking. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 2011;79(2):142-152. doi:10.1037/a0022917
 16. Kwon JY, Oliffe JL, Bottorff JL, Kelly MT. Heterosexual gender relations and masculinity in fathers who smoke. *Res Nurs Health*. 2014;37(5):391-398 doi:10.1002/nur.21614
 17. Bottorff JL, Oliffe JL, Sarbit G, et al. Assessing the feasibility, acceptability and potential effectiveness of an integrated approach to smoking cessation for new and expectant fathers: The Dads in Gear study protocol. *Contemp Clin Trials*. 2017;54:77-83. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2017.01.002
 18. Fleming PJ, Agnew-Brune C. Current Trends in the study of Gender Norms and Health Behaviors. *Curr Opin Psychol*. 2015;5:72-77. doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.05.001
 19. Mahalik JR, Burns SM, Syzdek M. Masculinity and perceived normative health behaviors as predictors of men's health behaviors. *Soc Sci Med*. 2007;64(11):2201-2209. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.02.035
 20. Wheldon CW, Watson RJ, Fish JN, Gamarel K. Cigarette Smoking Among Youth at the Intersection of Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity. *LGBT Health*. 2019;6(5):235-241. doi:10.1089/lgbt.2019.0005
 21. Hamilton CJ, Mahalik JR. Minority stress, masculinity, and social norms predicting gay men's health risk behaviors. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*. 2009;56(1):132-141. doi:10.1037/a0014440
 22. Jannat-Khah DP, Reynolds SA, Dill LJ, Joseph MA. A Comparison of Alternative Tobacco Product Usage, Knowledge and Beliefs Between the New York City Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgendered Community and Heterosexuals. *J Community Health*. 2017;42(5):903-910. doi:10.1007/s10900-017-0332-9
 23. Shires DA, Jaffee KD. Structural discrimination is associated with smoking status among a national sample of transgender individuals. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015;18(6):1502-1508. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntv221
 24. Fluharty M, Taylor AE, Grabski M, Munafo MR. The Association of Cigarette Smoking With Depression and Anxiety: A Systematic Review. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2017;19(1):3-13 doi:10.1093/ntr/ntw140
 25. Tibshirani R. Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*. 1996;58(1):267-288. doi:10.1111/j.2517-6161.1996.tb02080.x
 26. Kidd JD, Dolezal C, Bockting WO. The Relationship Between Tobacco Use and Legal Document Gender-Marker Change, Hormone Use, and Gender-Affirming Surgery in a United States Sample of Trans-Feminine and Trans-Masculine Individuals: Implications for Cardiovascular Health. *LGBT Health*. 2018;5(7):401-411. doi:10.1089/lgbt.2018.0103
 27. Bailey SR, Hoopes MJ, Marino M, et al. Effect of Gaining Insurance Coverage on Smoking Cessation in Community Health Centers: A Cohort Study. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2016;31(10):1198-1205. doi:10.1007/s11606-016-3781-4
 28. van Wijk EC, Landais LL, Harting J. Understanding the multitude of barriers that prevent smokers in lower socioeconomic groups from accessing smoking cessation support: A literature review. *Prev Med*. 2019;123:143-151. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2019.03.029
 29. Wheldon CW, Wiseman KP. Tobacco Use Among Transgender and Gender Non-conforming Adults in the United States. *Tob Use Insights*. 2019;12:1179173X19849419. doi:10.1177/1179173X19849419

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank transgender individuals seeking care at the clinic of Hunter A. Hammill, and those attending the 2015 Houston Pride Festival for their participation in this study. The authors are also grateful to Hiba Zwiya, for her assistance during the submission of this manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have each completed and submitted an ICMJE form for disclosure of potential conflicts of interest. The authors declare that they have no competing interests, financial or otherwise, related to the current work. J. Blalock reports grants from Cancer Prevention & Research Institute of Texas, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Lung Moon Shot and National Institutes of Health, and grants from Arnold Foundation, outside the submitted work.

FUNDING

This research was supported in part by: the National Institutes of Health through a Cancer Center Support Grant (P30CA16672) to The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center; an MD Anderson Institutional Research Grant to principal investigator I. Tami-Maury; and a Cancer Prevention Fellowship to A. Sharma (Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas Grant Award RP170259, PI: S Chang).

PROVENANCE AND PEER REVIEW

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.